# University of Essex

Online

Feedback for RRMP Literature Review Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 323467
Details of any extensions etc:	**Submission Attempt:** First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments
Knowledge and understanding of the topic/ issues under consideration (30%) To provide an overview of current knowledge on the chosen topic	**Weak**	The content is very limited because you haven't used enough of your word count. There is an 'overview' of discussion regarding some points that link to the assignment title, but due to the limitation of the content and context, there is no deep discussion occurring. Much more needs to be done at this level of study to pass an assignment. This is a weak outcome here. Your assignment is well under word count. Whilst there is no penalty for this, obviously if the assignment is under then you are not using your full academic potential to showcase your discussion from a writing and research perspective.
Criticality (30%) To highlight similar and contrasting views on your chosen topic	**Weak**	The content is descriptive and does not enter into debate and discussion. The research that has been undertaken focuses on the interpretation of fact, and not on comparison and debate. There is some application of theory and reflections of real-world scenarios, but these are limited. A weak outcome here.
Use of relevant sources (20% To demonstrate an awareness of relevant, current literature	**Satisfactory**	Limited research applied to the word count, and not enough word count for research and discussion to fully develop. Whilst there is a reference list and some citation there a deeper evidence of research should be occurring. This just falls into the satisfactory boundary.
Structure and Presentation (as detailed in the assessment guidance) (10%)	**Satisfactory**	There is satisfactory structure to the content in that it is laid out according to academic conventions. Some of your paragraphs are too long and would have benefitted from being broken down more. Avoid bullet points in an academic

To showcase your research and writing skills.		assignment, you should be fully synthesising your discussion through full paragraphs.
Academic integrity (10%) To showcase your research and writing skills.	**Very Good**	The use of tables to underpin points you are making academically is acceptable, however they should not be used instead of your academic voice. Your writing tone tends to be descriptive; 'interpreting' research as opposed to debating outcomes. More regular use of citation is also required, given that this is a literature review. Generally, very good use of grammar however.

Overall

Positives:
- Structure and presentation are satisfactory
- The assignment was handed in on time

Points for development:
- The assignment is well under word count
- This has limited critical discussion
- There is lack of deep research
- Knowledge of the topic is weak