


Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 323485
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Weak	There is no audio to support your power point presentation, and so I have had to look at your transcript and align that with the power point presentation slides. There are just over 750 words in your transcript that generally equates to around 5 minutes presentation time, and this summative has a guideline for a 15 minute presentation. The content overall is brief and whilst there is some discussion around your research concepts, the content is weak, regarding in-depth and deep knowledge. The content is superficial from this perspective.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Weak	There is a weak theoretical link between theory and practice because the content submitted for the summative is so brief. There is an overview of how your project proposal links to real world applicability but there is no real depth to the content. Time planning is likely an issue here. For future summative ensure you have enough time to thoroughly plan your content and upload all of the summative requirements.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	Satisfactory	The presentation is satisfactory in that it aligns with the transcript. Some slides don't have any text applied and as the audio is not present, it is then necessary to look at the transcript to make sense of the discussion
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?	Very Poor	There is no audio embedded in your power point and so I am unable to grade this section here. For the rest of the summative, I have read your transcript and used that as part of grading. However, this outcome here focuses on the oral presentation part of the summative and I am unable to judge that without an audio to listen to.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%:	Unsatisfactory	The transcript linked to the power point presentation shows a weak investigation of the whole content required for the



<p>Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?</p> <p>Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?</p>	<p>Weak</p>	<p>complete summative. Whilst there is a chronology between the power point and the transcript the discussion is superficial and there is no real depth regarding major points, and it is not possible to ascertain if the content is clearly presented as there is no oral presentation. There is a list of references, but these have not been utilised fully in the summative.</p> <p>The critical discussion is weak, and the overall methodology under researched. Analysis of research is limited within the discussion in the transcript</p>
<p>Overall</p>		
<p>Positives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The organisation of the presentation slides is just satisfactory, [when also aligning with the transcript] 		
<p>Points for development:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The summative is poorly planned and suffers from a lack of time management • There is no audio embedded in the power point presentation or uploaded as a separate file • The transcript submitted to support the power point is brief and covers only a cursory discussion around the topic 		